



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/933,063	08/20/2001	William D. Goodman	Verizon-10	Verizon-10 6764	
32127	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER		
VERIZON	CORPORATE SERV	PEACHES, RANDY			
C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN 600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAILCODE HQEO3H14			2686		
IRVING, TX 75038			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/933,063	GOODMAN, WILLIAM D.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Randy Peaches	2686			

	Randy Peaches	2686							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	late of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		INOT NEI ET WASTIEE	D WITTIIN TWO						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date						
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 			. 41 :						
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	educing or simplifying	ine issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		jourou orumno.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` ',	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant / timonamont	(1 102 02 1).						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendm	ent canceling						
the non-allowable claim(s).		,							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.									
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeat will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary						
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a									
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	if of the status of the claims after e	sility is below of allac	illeu.						
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).									
3. Other: Marsha D Bank-Harold									
	MARS	SHA D. BANKS-HARO							

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly presented claim require Examiner's further search and consideration based on the the language e.g. determining the number of users utilizing multiple devices and "multiplying the number of active devices based on the percentage of the population".